# INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SUPPLEMENTAL EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

### 2007-2008 COMPLIANCE AND ON-SITE MONITORING REPORT FOR:

A+ Grades Up

DOCUMENT	ANALYSIS	OBSERV	ATION	COMPLIANCE	
		Lesson matches	3	Criminal Background	
<b>Tutor Qualifications</b>	Unsatisfactory	original description	Meets Standard	Checks	
			3	Health/safety laws &	
<b>Recruiting Materials</b>		Instruction is clear	Meets Standard	regulations	
		Time on task is	3		
Academic Program		appropriate	Meets Standard	Financial viability	
		Instructor is			
		appropriately	3		
<b>Progress Reporting</b>	Unsatisfactory	knowledgeable	Meets Standard		
Assessment and					
Individual Program		Student/instructor	3		
Design	Satisfactory	<b>ratio:</b> 4-8:1	Meets Standard		

(As per the on-site monitoring rubric instructions, while monitoring/observation of SES providers is completed annually, document and compliance analysis is completed every two years. Since A+ Grades Up's document and compliance analysis was completed during the 2006-2007 school year an observation and only a limited document analysis was completed for the 2007-2008 school year).

#### **ACTION NEEDED: NONE**

• Provider submitted a corrective action plan explaining how the organization will ensure 1) tutors receive appropriate trainings and 2) progress reports are shared in a timely manner and include all required content.

## On-site Monitoring Visit Rubric DOCUMENT ANALYSIS Components

**NAME OF PROVIDER:** A+ Grades Up

REVIEWER: ST

**DATE DOCUMENTATION RECEIVED:** 1-8-08

Providers are required to submit documentation for each component during the site visit. If documentation is not available on-site, the director or head of the provider's organization, the site director, or another authorized representative will be required to submit documentation to the IDOE within seven (7) calendar days of site visit completion. **Failure to submit evidence could result in removal from the approved provider list.** Providers will be given an Unsatisfactory or Satisfactory for each component. Providers receiving an Unsatisfactory for any component may be required to address deficiencies within 7 calendar days of receiving their final report.

		DOCUMENTATION			
COMPONENT	DOCUMENTATION NEEDED	SUBMITTED (IDOE use only)	UNSATISFACTORY	SATISFACTORY	COMMENTS
COMICNENT	ALL of the following:	(IDOE use only)		SATISFACTORY	-Instructor training packet effectively
	The of the following.				describes provider's policies for tutors as well
					as offers instructional strategies and
					techniques tutors are encouraged to use during
					lessons (matches provider's application);
					-Training log provides verification that tutors
					attended at least one professional development
					training;
					- While provider shares technical assistance e-
					mails with tutors, ongoing professional
					development trainings that focus on increasing
					tutor effectiveness, best strategies for
					increasing student performance/motivation,
					using manipulatives, individualized
		-Instructor training			instruction, lesson planning, and other
	-Documentation of professional	agenda			effective tutoring areas are not provided to
	development opportunities in which tutors	-Instructor training			tutors although the provider's application
	have participated (i.e. sign-sheets,	packet			states the provider will offer tutors an
	agendas, presentations, certificates of	-Training			"opportunity to attend periodic workshops"
Tutor qualifications	completion, etc.)	completion log	X		that focus on these areas.
	<b>ALL</b> of the following:				-Progress reports are not in line with progress
					report approved in provider's application
					amendment (i.e. not all progress reports
					include standards addressed, instructional
					strategies used, etc.). In addition, statements
					that the student is "working" on a goal are
	-Progress reports	-Progress reports			vague and do not provide insight or evidence
	(see IDOE e-mail for details regarding the	-Timeline for			of student progress.
, n	request for progress reports)	sending progress	***		-Progress reports are submitted in accordance
Progress Reporting	-Timeline for sending progress reports	reports	X		to timeframe agreed to in SES Contracts with

	-Documentation of reports sent			districts.
	ALL of the following:			
	-Explanation of the process provider uses	-Pre-assessment		
	to develop Individual learning plans for	scores and		-Learning plan development process is
	each student			appropriate;
- Pre-assessment scores and Individual		Achievement Plans		-Individual Achievement Plans share
	learning plan for at least one student in	-Explanation of		standards upon which students will focus, pre-
	each subject provider tutors (any			test scores, timeline for reporting student
	identifying information for the student(s)			progress, and the services planned to help
	must be blanked out)	plan development		each student achieve goals;
Assessment and	-Explanation and evidence regarding how	-Assessment and		-Correlation chart provides adequate examples
Individual Program	provider's pre and post-test assessment	State Standards		of assessment's connection to Indiana
Design	correlates to Indiana academic standards.	Correlation chart	X	Academic Standards.

## **On-site Monitoring Rubric OBSERVATION Components**

NAME OF PROVIDER: A+ Grades Up

DATE: 12/6/07;12/10/07

**SITE:** Harrison Hill Elementary; Abraham Lincoln Elementary **REVIEWER:** S.T. & M.C.; S.T & M.C.

TUTOR'S INITIALS (ALL TUTORS OBSERVED): Rm 32 & 33 tutors; M.W.

TIME OF OBSERVATION: 4:17 p.m.; 4:30 p.m.

**NUMBER OF LESSONS OBSERVED: 4** 

During the site visit, IDOE personnel will visit several tutoring sessions to observe lessons being provided. IDOE reviewers will be looking to see that actual tutoring matches lesson plan descriptions that are provided in requested documents, as well as those that were provided in the original provider application; that tutors and students are spending an appropriate amount of time on task; that instruction is clear and understandable; and that instructors seem knowledgeable about lesson content.

Each provider will receive a score of 1-4 points for each component. Providers receiving "1 or 2 points" on any component may be required to address deficiencies within 7 calendar days of receiving their final report. Failure to address deficiencies may result in removal from the state approved list.

	1	2	3	4	
COMPONENT	Below Standard	Approaching Standard	Meeting Standard	Exceeding Standard	REVIEWER COMMENTS
Lesson matches original description in provider application			X		-As per provider's application, each student had an individualized lesson plan that highlighted activities/coursework for the student based on pre-assessment results; -Students worked independently within small or large groups on Finishline workbooks and worksheets (provider's curriculum) with a focus on Reading and Language Arts while tutors provided assistance when requested or while systematically checking in with students on their progress and or comprehension of the assignment; -Observed lessons match description in provider application (i.e. as per the application, students worked on provider's curriculum and tutors used techniques such as oral reading, comprehension monitoring, inferring meaning, question answering/generation, etc.).
Instruction is clear			X		-In large group sessions (8 or more students in the room with ratio of 6-8:1), when tutors worked one-on-one with students, tutors clearly communicated what was to be learned and were able to adequately adjust and modify instruction to that particular student's individual needs; However, in these same large group sessions when tutors provided directions/instruction to students in small groups (3-5 students) rather than one on one, tutors used the same method of instruction for all of the students with few modifications to accommodate variations in ability levels. In addition, due to excessive demands on tutors trying to balance responding to the needs of multiple students at once, some students waited long periods of time to receive assistance which at times left these students unclear as to how to proceed with lesson materials; -In large group sessions, a few students were not always clear on what was to be learned or in some cases what they should work on once they finished their work and were waiting for the tutor to check-in on their progress;

					- In the small group session, the tutor was easily able to provide individualized instruction to each student and also appropriately modified instruction when moving from student to student (and invariably from ability level to ability level). Each student had a clear understanding of what was to be learned and also what activities to move onto once they completed a lesson.
COMPONENT	1 Below Standard	2 Approaching Standard	3 Meeting Standard	4 Exceeding Standard	REVIEWER COMMENTS
Time on task is appropriate			X		-In the small group session, students were engrossed in completing their lessons. The tutor did not have to redirect any of these students to return to their assignments; -In the large group sessions, when the tutors worked one-on-one with a student or with a small group of students, the students remained focused on the lesson; However, in some cases, when the tutor was not working directly with a student(s), students became off task (socializing, moving around the room, etc.) and had to be redirected to the task at hand. This was especially the case for students who were waiting for their tutor to review their answers to assignments.
Instructor is appropriately knowledgeable			X		-Tutors demonstrated appropriate knowledge of provider's curriculum and were able to clearly articulate how pre-assessment data was used to develop lesson plans. Tutors' explanations of tutoring session structure and lesson plans used were in line with provider's description of sessions and provider's application; -For the most part, tutors demonstrated tutoring strategies and techniques described in provider's Instructor Training. As per guidance from the training materials, some tutors were observed emphasizing study strategies, following the lesson plans provided, engaging students in their lessons, etc.
Student/instructor ratio:4-8:1			X		Observed ratio of 4-8:1 is in line with provider's application.